

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Colin C.O. Goble 10/808,484 03/25/2004 2558-78 5758 23117 04/01/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC DAHBOUR, FADI H 1100 N GLEBE ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714 3743

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	.1)	
		10/808,484		GOBLE ET AL.	(A)	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Fadi H. Dah		3743		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the d	over sheet with the	e correspondence addre)SS	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATION TIME TO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO STATE OF THE PROVISION OF THE PROVISI	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event b. a reply within the statuto criod will apply and will e latute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be ry minimum of thirty (30) o expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ation to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. S 133).	nunication.	
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is nor	n-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	` '	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documen reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicats have been rece 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>09/773,893</u> . ived in this National Sta	age	
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ary (PTO-413) Date		
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u> .	(08) 5		al Patent Application (PTO-15	52)	

Application/Control Number: 10/808,484

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-40 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,758,846. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadi H. Dahbour whose telephone number is 571-272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett, can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,484

Art Unit: 3743

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fadi H. Dahbour Examiner Art Unit 3743